## 2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-SB434)

Receive	ed: 3/20/2	2014		F	Received By:	mduchek			
Wanted	d: As tin	ne permits		S	Same as LRB:				
For:	Jeren	Jeremy Thiesfeldt (608) 266-3156			By/Representing: Hariah				
May C	ontact:			Ι	Orafter:	mduchek			
Subject	t: <b>Heal</b> t	th - miscellaneo	us	, F	Addl. Drafters:				
				I	Extra Copies:				
Reques	t via email: ster's email: a copy (CC) to	_	hiesfeldt@leg a.dodge@legi	•	_				
Pre To	pic:								
No spe	cific pre topio	e given							
Topic: Delete		quiring food har	ndler on premi	ses					
Instru	ctions:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L. M.				
See att	ached								
Drafti	ng History:			,					
<u>Vers.</u>	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?	mduchek 3/20/2014			A. A. C. T. C.					
/1		wjackson 3/20/2014	jfrantze 3/20/2014		lparisi 3/20/2014	lparisi 3/20/2014			
FE Ser	nt For:								

<**END>** 

# 2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly S	Substitute	e Amendr	nent (ASA	-SB434)					
Received:	3/20/2014	<b>,</b>			Received	d By:	mduchek	ζ.	
Wanted:	As time p	ermits			Same as	LRB:			
For:	Jeremy T	hiesfeldt (	608) 266-315	56	By/Repr	esenting:	Hariah		
May Contact:	:				Drafter:		mduchek		
Subject:	Health - 1	niscellaneo	ous		Addl. Dı	afters:			
					Extra Co	ppies:			
Submit via email:  Requester's email:  Carbon copy (CC) to:  YES  Rep.Thiesfeldt@legis.wis  tamara.dodge@legis.wis				_	_				
Pre Topic:  No specific pr	re topic give	en							
Topic:									
Delete provisi	ions requiri	ng food han	ndler on prem	nises					
Instructions: See attached									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Drafting Hist	tory:		<del></del> .						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Vers. Drafte	e <u>d</u> R	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Subi	<u>mitted</u>	Jackete	<u>ed</u>	Required
/? mduch	nek /	1 Wij31	20	A S	120				

<**END>** 

FE Sent For:



1

2

3

4

# State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE





## **2013 SENATE BILL 434**

December 10, 2013 – Introduced by Senators Farrow and L. Taylor, cosponsored by Representatives Thiesfeldt, Bernier, Bies, Brooks, Kahl, A. Ott, Petryk, Ripp and Sinicki. Referred to Committee on Health and Human Services.

AN ACT to renumber and amend 254.71 (1); to amend 254.71 (2), 254.71 (3)

and 254.71 (6) (b); and to create 66.0436, 254.71 (1g) and 254.71 (1r) (b) and

(c) of the statutes; relating to: requirements and local ordinances related to

certificates of food protection practices.

## Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the Department of Health Services (DHS) may issue a certificate of food protection practices (FPP certificate) to an individual who: 1) satisfactorily completes a written examination approved by DHS that demonstrates the individual's basic knowledge of food protection practices; or 2) has achieved comparable compliance. Also under current law, an FPP certificate holder may renew the FPP certificate after five years by satisfactorily completing a recertification training course approved by DHS as meeting standards for approval that are established by DHS by rule.

This bill eliminates the requirement that the initial examination be written and requires examinations to be approved by DHS as meeting standards established by DHS by rule (approved examinations). The bill also provides that an individual renewing an FPP certificate, instead of satisfactorily completing a recertification training course approved by DHS, must again satisfactorily complete an approved examination.

The bill provides, subject to an exception, that whenever food is being prepared/processed, or served at a restaurant, the person who holds the permit for the restaurant issued by DHS or a local health department (restaurant permit holder)

#### **SENATE BILL 434**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

9

must ensure that there is a person on the restaurant premises who holds an FPP certificate. Under the exception, the restaurant permit holder is not required to ensure that there is an FPP certificate holder on the premises if both of the following apply: 1) the restaurant has five or fewer food handlers who are working at the restaurant at that time; and 2) if the restaurant has had a priority violation at each of two consecutive inspections, the restaurant has, subsequent to those inspections, had two consecutive inspections without a priority health violation.

Finally, the bill prohibits a city, village, town, or county (local government) from enacting an ordinance requiring a restaurant, a restaurant permit holder, or a person who conducts, maintains, manages, or operates a restaurant to satisfy a requirement related to the issuance or possession of an FPP certificate that is not found under the provisions in the statutes related to FPP certificates. The bill provides that, if a local government has in effect on the bill's effective date an ordinance that is so prohibited, that ordinance does not apply and may not be enforced.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

66.0436 Certificates of food protection practices for restaurants. (1)
In this section, "restaurant" has the meaning given in s. 254.61 (5).

**SECTION 1.** 66.0436 of the statutes is created to read:

(2) No city, village, town, or county may enact an ordinance requiring a restaurant, a person who holds a permit for a restaurant, or a person who conducts, maintains, manages, or operates a restaurant to satisfy a requirement related to the issuance or possession of a certificate of food protection practices that is not found under s. 254.71.

(3) If a city, village, town, or county has in effect on January 1, 2015, an ordinance that the city, village, town, or county is prohibited from enacting under sub. (2), the ordinance does not apply and may not be enforced.

SECTION 2. 254.71 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 254.71 (1r) (a) and amended to read:

91 (b) Paragraph (a) does not apply to an ordinance of a 1st class city that was in effect on March 20, 20140

### SENATE BILL 434

	<u>g</u>
1	254.71 (1r) (a) After January 1, 1995, no person may conduct, maintain,
2	manage or operate a restaurant unless the operator or manager of the restaurant
3	holds a current, valid certificate of food protection practices issued by the department
4	<u>is a certificate holder.</u>
5	SECTION 3. 254.71 (1g) of the statutes is created to read:
6	254.71 ( <b>1g</b> ) In this section:
7	(a) "Approved examination" means an examination that allows an individual
8	to demonstrate basic knowledge of food protection practices and that is approved by
9	the department as meeting the standards established under sub. (6) (b).
10	(b) "Certificate holder" means an individual who holds a valid certificate of food
11	protection practices issued under this section.
12	(c) "Food" means a raw, cooked, or processed edible substance; ice; beverages;
13	an ingredient used or intended for use or for sale in whole or in part for human
14	consumption; or chewing gum.
15	(d) "Food handler" means an individual who is engaged in the preparation,
16	processing, or service of food at a restaurant and who is not a certificate holder.
17	SECTION 4. 254.71 (1r) (b) and (c) of the statutes are created to read:
18	254.71 (1r) (b) Except as provided in par. (c), whenever food is being prepared,
19	processed, or served at a restaurant, the person who holds the permit for the
20	restaurant shall ensure that a certificate holder is present on the premises of the
21	restaurant.
22	(c) Paragraph (b) does not apply to a restaurant if the restaurant satisfies all
23	of the following:
24	1. The restaurant has 5 or fewer food handlers who are working in the
25	restaurant at that time.

effective date of this subsection.

24

### **SENATE BILL 434**

	A Company of the Comp
1	/ 2. If the restaurant has had a priority violation, as determined by the
2	department or a local health department granted agent status under s. 254.69, at
3	each of 2 consecutive inspections conducted on or after January 1, 2015, the
4	restaurant has had 2 consecutive inspections subsequent to those inspections
5	without a priority violation, as determined by the department or local health
6	department.
7	SECTION 5. 254.71 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:
8	254.71 (2) Except as provided in s. 250.041, the department may issue a
9	certificate of food protection practices to an individual who satisfactorily completes
10	a written an approved examination, approved by the department, that demonstrates
11	the individual's basic knowledge of food protection practices or who has achieved
12	comparable compliance.
13	<b>SECTION 6.</b> 254.71 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:
14	254.71 (3) Each certificate is valid for 5 years from the date of issuance and,
15	except as provided in s. 250.041, may be renewed by the holder of the certificate
16	holder if he or she satisfactorily completes a recertification training course approved
17	by the department an approved examination.
18	SECTION 7. 254.71 (6) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
19	254.71 (6) (b) Specifying standards for approval of training courses for
20	recertification of food protection practices examinations required under this section.
21	SECTION 8. Initial applicability.
22	(1) The treatment of section 254.71 (2) of the statutes first applies to an
23	application for a certificate of food protection practices that is submitted on the

## **SENATE BILL 434**

1	(2) The treatment of section 254.71 (3) of the statutes first applies to an
2	application for a renewal of a certificate of food protection practices that is submitted
3	on the effective date of this subsection.
4	Section 9. Effective date.
5	(1) This act takes effect on January 1, 2015.
6	(END)

#### Duchek, Michael

From:

Duchek, Michael

Sent:

Thursday, March 20, 2014 12:28 PM

To: Subject:

Hutkowski, Hariah Sub. amendment

Hariah,

You/Kae are correct. It will be ASA1 to SB 434.

After consulting with Eric here who does local govs., I did the substitute amendment to say that the City of Milwaukee's ordinance that was in effect today (3/20/2014) is not preempted. I referenced today's date so that Milwaukee won't be able to change their ordinance between now and 1/1/15 (the bill's effective date). In addition, this will prevent them from changing it in the future, as we discussed. So will be stuck with the ordinance they have now, until the legislature enacts something that says otherwise.

Mike Duchek Legislative Attorney Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau (608) 266-0130

3/20/14

Rep. Thiesfeldt's office-delete all provisions related to requiring having A food manager on premises. However, City of Milwarlan has this requirement so let them beep their existing ordinance,